

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 08-028-14-15	Reason for Inspection	Property transfer				
Local regulatory authority info: Steele county						
Property address: 1621 28th St SW						
Owner/representative: Joseph & Gladys Tupy Trust		Owner's phone:				
Brief system description:		•				
2						
System status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/3/2023						
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.				
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt					
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)					
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1		health and safety				
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	-				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	ent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	public health and safety				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	ent #3) – <i>Failing to protect g</i>	groundwater				
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	2500 (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater				
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failin	g to protect groundwater					
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor		Noncompliant - local ordinance applies				
Comments or recommendations		, ,				
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.						
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be				
Business name: Don Loken Drainage		Certification number: C3888				
Inspector signature: Jordan Wagner		License number: L-450				
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone:				
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must l	be attached)				
Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally r						
Other information (list):	Kooli					

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other:	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
walked around system no discharge t	o surface		
does not drain to tile line			
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2		
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentation:	
			Don Lok Drainage
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Drainage
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Drainage : L-450
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Drainage : L-450 11-03-20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Drainage : L-450 11-03-20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Drainage : <u>L-450</u> <u>11-03-20</u>)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Drainage : L-450 11-03-20) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Drainage L-450 11-03-20) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Drainage L-450 11-03-20) three year

Pr	roperty Address: 1621 28th St SW	
В	susiness Name:	Date: 11/3/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	If "yes", A below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	If "yes", A below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 o Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Description: Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

Вι	siness Name: <u>Don Loken Drainage</u>		Date: <u>1</u>	1/3/2023		
	Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 c	of 5			
	Date of installation 10/20/1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown				
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report		
	Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation			
	5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	·		
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
	5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations			
	April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	29"		
	or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	70+"		
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	41+"		
	separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	24"		
	saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local		
	5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*				
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Sewage tank integrity assessment form

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is required when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.

 Notice of sewage tank non-compliance Select all that apply: ☐ The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit – "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It has a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designe operating depth – "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It presents a threat to public safety by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition – w"Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety." 				
nated Certified Individual (DCI) information				
ame: jordan wagner				
cation number: c3888				
ndividual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS inspection, ed the necessary procedures to assess the compliance				
e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that				
e (mm/dd/yyyy):11/3/2023				



Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 03.15.2023

Client: Joseph & Gladys Tupy Trust Location / Addre						ion / Address:	ress: 1621 28th St SW				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply))	wash	Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium B	Sedrock Orga	nic Matter 🔲 Distur	bed/Fill
Landscape Position:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:		
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:					Surface Elevation-Relative to		benchmark:
Date/Time o	of Day/Weathe	r Condition	ons:	11/3	11/3/2023 12 sunny Limiting Layer Elevation:						
Observation #/Location:						Observation Type:		Auger			
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	II Shape Grade Consis	
0-32"	Loamy Fine Sand	0-7%	10YR	2/1					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
32-48"	Loamy Fine Sand	0-7%	10YR	3/2					Blocky	Moderate	Loose
48-52"	Loamy Fine Sand	0-7%							Blocky	Moderate	Loose
52-70+"	Coarse Sand	5-10%							Single grain	Moderate	Loose
							·				
									я		
Comments:											
	ify that I have our ordan wagner	completed	this work	in accord		th all appli	cable ordinances,	rules and law	S.		11/3/2023
Optional Veri	gner/Inspecto fication: I here ly saturated soil	by certify			tion was v		cording to Minn. R.	7082.0500 subp	(License #) b. 3 A. The signa	ature below represe	(Date) nts an infield verification of
			,					,	C3888		
(LGU/D	esigner/Inspec	ctor)			(Signature)		(Cert #)		(Date)

28th 5t House Leroperty Line Garage -1000/500. tank Drain-Field O-Powerpole Soil Boring

Not to Scale



Reporting Information

De	ate of maintenance: (1-3-	2)	Υ	
	operty address: 1621 281	h of Jy	r maintenance: Comp	iunce inspection
Pri	operty owner's riame: 105-ck	ht Gladys tupy	trust	State: MN Zip:
Ci-	operty-swner'e address if different	nds .		Giate, VV(N Zip:
-2	LVa	State: Zip:	Phone:	- Fax:
'Ea				Fax:
2.	if maintenance hole was use	ed, were all covers securely rep	Market Section Markets	
	TOTALISMOIL.			
3.	if owner ranges to allow a S	iubsuriana Savaro Tanak		
	reve mem complete and sig	in the following statement	Sys lem (SS TS) to be pumpe	d through the maintenance hole,
	(Ovner's name)	, refuse to allow the	removal of the solide and time	
	hole. I understand that remove	al of solids and liquids through oth	of the solids and liqui	ics wrough the maintenance
(Owner's signature:	and udning muondu off	er access points is not consid	ered maintenance.
d.				
	Tank #1: □ Yes ☑ No	ky fank? (Example: seepage pit, Verification method used)	cesspool, drywell, leaching p	it')
	Tentra: Lives I No	Marie Mages VI	JWWI	
5.	is there evidence of fank leaf	vermication method used? lage from a septic, holding, pre ed or structurally unsound mail		
	Table	age from a septic, holding, preed or structurally unsound main	reatment or pump tank bel otenance hole covered	ow the operating depth or
	Septic/holding Tank#1	Leaking out	Lealing in	1 .
	Septic/holding Tank#2	Yes K No	☐ Yes ☑ No	Cover damage
	Pretreatment Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☑ No
	Pump Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
<u> </u>	How many gallons of septage	This was	☐ Yes ☒ No	Tyes TX No
	Tank納: LOOU Tani	. #5.		
7.	is there any sensory ismall a	r#2:Pretrea	ment Tank:	Pump Tanic 250
	☐ Yes ☑ No Please expl	And anguine of Hou-So	mesüc wastes?	
		Guara E.		
	Explanation: Owalon	Vic.	application	ase explain below)
	List any troubleshooting, minor	Phalip and Laboratoria		•
	Mone	repairs conducted, tank safety* co	oncems or other concerns:	
8.	Cordfication: Thereby cartin	200 - 01 - 1		
	made the obse	as a State of Minnesota-certified reations, or directly supervised of	SSTS Maintainer that I perso	nally conducted the work and
	traine and address:	Loken Excess	ners in the performance of this	s job.
	Maintainer's license # 4			63 Wel 005
	Maintainer's signature:	21 1	laintainer's phone: 57	97-457-2773
	A. corput dis	alin Wagner	Date:	11-3-23
	·	·	7000 Maria 1900 Maria	